IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.B.KOSHY
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.BALACHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 25TH MAY 2007 / 4TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 14166 of 2007(J)

PETITIONERS:

1. CHANDRASENAN, S/O. LAKSHMANA PANICKER,
   POOVANVILAKATH VEEDU, MALAYINKEEZHU VILLAGE,
   NEYYATTINKARA TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

2. RADDHAKRISHNAN, S/O. PUSHKARA PANICKER,
   OLIPPUVILA VEEDU, MUTTAKKAD, KALLIYOOR VILLAGE,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. VELAPPAN, S/O. NARAYANAN,
   PALAVARATHALA VEEDU, ATHIYANNOOR VILLAGE,
   NEYYATTINKARA TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4. BALAKRISHNAN, S/O. GOPALALAN,
   UTTUKUZHY HOUSE, THIRUPURAM VILLAGE,
   NEYYATTINKARA TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.B.PRADEEP

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
   REPRESENTED BY THE HOME SECRETARY, SECRETARIAT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
   MUSEUM POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, POOJAPURA
   POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. REJI KUMAR, S/O. MADHAVAN NAIR,
   SREEDEVI BHAVAN, KANGALAM, THIRUMALA,
   ARAMADA P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. BINU (BEEDI), AYANTHI VEEDU, NJALIKONAM,
   THIRUMALA, ARAMADA P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

6. V.K.SURESH KUMAR, S/O. KAKRUNAKARAN NAIR
   PARAYIL VEEDU, KARIYARA THALAKKAL, KANGALAM,
   ARAMADA P.O., THIRUMALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

7. PRABHAKARAN, MULLUVILA PUTHENVEEDU,
   KANGALAM, ARAMADA P.O., THIRUMALA,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

8. VIJAYAKUMAR, S/O. BHASKARAN NAIR,
   MOOLAYIL VEEDU, KANGALAM, ARAMADA P.O.,
   THIRUMALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

9. DASAN, S/O. NANAN NADAR,
   NJALIKONAM, THIRUMALA, ARAMADA P.O.,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. P.A.SALIM
R4, R7 TO R9 BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE SEBASTIAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

J.B.Koshy & K.P.Balachandran, JJ.

W.P.(C)No. 14166 of 2007 J

JUDGMENT

Koshy, J.

This is a petition for police protection. According to the petitioners, they have got Exhibit P1 valid permit for conducting the toddy shop. Even though they spent huge amounts, they are obstructed by the local public in conducting the shop. According to the fourth respondent, Church, Temples, etc. are situated near the licensed premises and the shop is situated in an objectionable place. Exhibit R4(a) is the complaint.

2. The eleventh respondent is directed to consider the above representation and dispose of the same, with notice to the parties, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment and on factual verification if there is no objectionable structures, police should give

adequate protection and if there are objectionable places, order of shifting also should be passed.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

(J.B.Koshy, Judge)

25th May, 2007

(K.P.Balachandran, Judge)

tkv